

ăč

# 2024 - The State of Cyber

Title: 2025\_State\_of\_cyber/pdf Date: 28th April 2025 Version: 1.0

# Cybersecurity in Europe 2024: The SME Challenge in Finance, Gambling, and Insurance

# **Novalytics Gibraltar**

Abstract—The year 2024 was characterised by a marked increase in cybersecurity threats across Europe, notably impacting Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) operating within the finance, gambling / gambling, and insurance sectors. This paper provides an overview of cybersecurity in Europe during 2024, focussing on SMEs operating within these sectors. Key case studies highlight vulnerabilities in SMEs resulting from insufficient governance, outdated infrastructure, and limited defensive capabilities. The introduction of rigorous regulatory frameworks, such as NIS2 and DORA, has established cybersecurity not just a technical concern but an imperative for governance. Implementing a Zero Trust architecture, improving identity and access man agement, automating vulnerability management, providing frequent security training, and regularly testing incident response plans are critical actions that demonstrably improve cyber posture.

**Keywords**—Cybersecurity, Policy, Data Protection, DMARC, DKIM, Spoofing, Phishing

# **TOP 10 EMERGING CYBER-SECURITY THREATS FOR 2030**



Figure 1. ENISA Top Cyber Threats

# Contents

1	Intr	oduction	1
2	Thr	eats	2
	2.1	Ransomware-as-a-Service (RaaS)	2
	2.2	Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS)	2
	2.3	Supply Chain and Third-Party Risk	2
	2.4	Credential Harvesting and Phishing	2
	2.5	Data Integrity and Manipulation Attacks	2
3	Exa	mples and Case Studies	2
	3.1	Central European Gaming Platform: Prolonged DDoS Attack .	2
	3.2	Financial Cooperative in Portugal: Ransomware Attack with Da Leak	ta 2
	3.3	Nordic Insurance Broker: Integrity Manipulation Attempt	2
4	Miti	gations	3
	4.1	Network Segmentation and Access Control	3
	4.2	Endpoint Detection and Response (EDR)	3
	4.3	Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA) with Context-Aware Policies	3
	4.4	Regular Tabletop Exercises and Incident Response Planning $% \left( {{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}} \right)$ .	3
	4.5	Secure Software Supply Chain Practices	3
	4.6	Data Backups and Restoration Testing	3
5	Imp	ortance of Accountability and Responsibility	3
	5.1	Regulatory Mandates on Governance	3
	5.2	Security is a Leadership Issue	3
	5.3	Responsibility for Supply Chain Dependencies	3
	5.4	Cultural and Ethical Responsibility	3
	5.5	Transparency and Post-Breach Disclosure	3
6	Тор	Five Actions That Improve Your Posture	3
	6.1	Implement Zero Trust Network Architecture (ZTNA)	4
	6.2	Enforce Strong Identity and Access Management (IAM)	4
	6.3	Automate Vulnerability Management and Patch Deployment .	4
	6.4	Conduct Frequent and Realistic Security Awareness Training .	4
	6.5	Establish and Test an Incident Response Plan	4
7	Sur	nmary	4
	Ref	erences	4

# 1. Introduction

In 2024, cyber threats across Europe increased significantly, with Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs) experiencing a disproportionate share of the impact. This trend was particularly notable in sectors that handle sensitive financial and personal data, such as finance, gambling and gaming, and insurance. The year brought increased regulatory pressure, the emergence of new adversarial tactics, and continued geopolitical exploitation of cyber vulnerabilities.

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

Recent data from the European Union Agency for Cybersecurity (ENISA) confirm this escalation. ENISA's Threat Landscape 2023 report, covering incidents through early 2024, found that the most frequent threat types were distributed denial-of-service (DDoS) attacks, ransomware, and data breaches, with threat actors increasingly targeting availability and integrity of systems across the EU. The report concludes that both the number and the severity of incidents have increased compared to previous years [16].

In parallel, SMEs are less prepared to deal with these evolving risks. The World Economic Forum Global Cybersecurity Outlook 2024 identifies that twice as many small businesses as large enterprises report lacking the operational resilience needed to counter modern cyber threats. Key deficiencies include underinvestment in monitoring, fragmented governance, and excessive reliance on outdated systems and staff practices [17].

The financial sector, already highly digitised, has been further strained by the growing reliance on cloud infrastructure, the integration of fintech platforms, and increasingly complex third-party risk chains. These trends have widened the attack surface, allowing threat actors to exploit insecure APIs, the reuse of credentials, and phishing to compromise accounts [15].

In the gambling and gaming sectors, especially those operating under pan-European licencing frameworks, attackers launched largescale DDoS campaigns in 2024. In particular, pro-Russian groups such as NoName057(16) targeted commercial gaming services in politically motivated incidents, demonstrating the increasing crossover between hacktivism and cybercrime [18].

In the insurance domain, while the demand for cyber coverage has increased, many providers remain vulnerable themselves. Regulatory stress testing and growing actuarial data have made it clear that insurers, especially smaller underwriters, are both targets and vectors for data breaches. The European cyber insurance market, which saw a 50% growth in premium volume in some regions, reflects both

\$\$\	\$\$\	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	\$\$\	\$\$\ \$	\$\$\$\$\$\	\$\$1	\$\$\$\				
\$\$\$\	\$\$\$	188	1\$\$ \$\$	\$\$ 1	\$\$ \$\$	\$\$\	\$\$	\$\$\				
		\$\$			\$\$ \$\$		1\$\$ /					
		\$\$\$\$\$\				\$\$\$\$\$\		\$\$\$\$				
			\$\$ \$\$		\$\$ \			\$\$				
\$ 1\\$		\$\$    \$\$			\$\$ \$\$		\$\$					
							1.1.1.1					
\$ \	_/ ≱≯	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	22222222	\\$\$\$\$	\$⊅  \⊅:	\$\$\$\$\$	\$\$	\$\$				
		. \	N		_/ \.	/						
oppy	to int			Hello,						!!! ]-		
	to int APPEND	errupt you								!!! ]-		
VHAT H	APPEND	errupt you ? ENETRATE y	r busy bu	usiness. 								
WHAT H 1. We * We h	APPEND have P ave pe	errupt you ? ENETRATE y netrated y	r busy bu our netwo our entir	usiness. Ork and C re networ	rk for :	several			rese	arched	all ab	out y
VHAT H L. We * We h * You'	APPEND have P ave pe re hig	errupt you ? ENETRATE y	r busy bu our netwo our entir uable bus	usiness. Ork and C De networ Siness ar	rk for : nd your	several data w	ias ve	ery cru	rese cial.	arched		
WHAT H . We 'We h 'You' And	APPEND have P ave pe re hig finall	errupt you ? ENETRATE y netrated y h tech val	r busy bu our netwo our entir uable bus copied t	usiness. Ork and C re networ siness ar cerabytes	rk for : nd your	several data w	ias ve	ery cru	rese cial.	arched		

Figure 2. Medusa Ransomware Screenshot

<sup>42</sup> increased risk and greater awareness of sector exposure [19].

<sup>43</sup> This document provides an overview of cybersecurity in Europe

during 2024, focussing on SMEs operating within the finance, gam bling / gambling, and insurance sectors. Examines the evolving threat
 environment, presents illustrative case studies, outlines practical mit-

igations, and concludes with recommended actions to build cyber
 resilience.

# 49 2. Threats

The European cyber threat landscape in 2024 was dominated by five interrelated vectors: ransomware-as-a-service (RaaS), DDoS attacks, third-party and supply chain compromises, credential phishing, and data integrity threats. These threats evolved in sophistication and scale, affecting both critical infrastructure and SMEs in sensitive data handling sectors.

# 56 2.1. Ransomware-as-a-Service (RaaS)

Ransomware operators increasingly adopted businesslike models,
offering affiliate programmes that allowed nontechnical actors to
deploy sophisticated payloads. The modularisation of ransomware
kits allowed for faster campaign deployment and obfuscation of attribution. SMEs, particularly in the insurance and fintech ecosystems,
were frequently targeted due to perceived underinvestment in backup,
segmentation, and endpoint protection strategies [14].

# 64 2.2. Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS)

Europe saw an increase in ideologically motivated DDoS attacks, particularly in the context of regional political tensions. Groups such
as NoName057(16) and Killnet leveraged botnets to target financial
institutions, gambling platforms, and online insurance portals. These
attacks, often used as cover for other intrusions, were noted for their
duration and their use of layer 7 (application level) saturation techniques [9].

# 72 2.3. Supply Chain and Third-Party Risk

Threat actors increasingly targeted software vendors, cloud service
providers, and managed security providers as a means to reach downstream SME clients. This trend mirrored the SolarWinds and Kaseyastyle attacks observed in previous years. In 2024, SMEs in the financial sector that use third-party payment processors and identity
verification services were disproportionately affected, often through
indirect compromise of OAuth and SAML integrations [20].

# 80 2.4. Credential Harvesting and Phishing

Phishing attacks became more convincing with the help of generative
AI, which enabled grammatical correctness, contextual tailoring, and
spoofed domain authenticity. The finance and insurance sectors
saw widespread credential reuse attacks, where leaked credentials
from previous breaches were used to access internal services. These
campaigns were often automated and relied on poorly configured
multi-factor authentication systems [7].



Figure 3. Supply chain Ransomware Attack - Fortigate Medusa attack

# 2.5. Data Integrity and Manipulation Attacks

Whereas traditional data breaches sought exfiltration, 2024 saw a shift towards data tampering, particularly in sectors where trust and accuracy are paramount. In the insurance industry, there have been attempts to modify claims records and falsify actuarial input data. This subtle but damaging attack vector challenges standard forensic and audit protocols [11].

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

109

110

118

# 3. Examples and Case Studies

To understand the real-world impact of cyber threats in 2024, this section presents selected case studies from the finance, gambling / gambling and insurance sectors in Europe. These incidents illustrate both the diversity and severity of the attacks faced by small businesses. 99

# 3.1. Central European Gaming Platform: Prolonged DDoS Attack 100

A licenced online gambling platform based in Slovenia was the vic-101 tim of a DDoS attack that lasted more than 72 hours, disrupting 102 user logins and tournament operations. The campaign, claimed by 103 NoName057(16), appeared politically motivated due to the firm's 104 association with a pan-European digital policy initiative. The attack 105 targeted application-level endpoints, using geo-distributed botnets. 106 The reliance of the gaming company on a single upstream CDN ven-107 dor limited its mitigation options [21]. 108

# 3.2. Financial Cooperative in Portugal: Ransomware Attack with Data Leak

A small financial cooperative serving agricultural communities in Portugal experienced a ransomware attack deployed via malicious macros embedded in a supplier invoice. The cooperative's backup systems were found to be incomplete, leading to extended downtime. Exfiltrated data, including member financial histories, was leaked online after the organisation refused to pay. The attack exploited unpatched vulnerabilities in their on-premises ERP system. 111

# 3.3. Nordic Insurance Broker: Integrity Manipulation Attempt

In an unusual case, a regional insurance broker in Sweden reported 119 the detection of an attempted data integrity attack. Instead of data 120 exfiltration, the intruders attempted to silently alter the claims histo-121 ries within the broker's SQL database. The investigators traced the 122 intrusion to a spear phishing campaign targeting claims managers. 123 This marked one of the few confirmed cases of financially motivated 124 data manipulation in the insurance sector, reinforcing the need for 125 database-level auditing and the detection of behavioural anomalies. 126

These incidents demonstrate that even smaller companies, despite127their lower public profiles, are frequently targeted for strategic gain.128In each case, the attackers exploited basic oversights: poor access129control, insufficient monitoring, third-party overtrust, or failure to130patch critical software.131

#### 4. Mitigations 132

Mitigating cyber threats in SMEs, especially within the finance, gam-133 bling/gaming, and insurance sectors, requires a multi-layered ap-134 proach that balances technical controls, organisational policies, and 135 external support. Although no strategy guarantees immunity, several 136 defensive measures have consistently demonstrated risk reduction 137 when properly implemented and maintained. 138

#### 4.1. Network Segmentation and Access Control 139

One of the most effective mitigation strategies is logical network seg-140 mentation. By isolating critical services, such as payment systems or 141 policyholder databases, from general IT infrastructure, organisations 142 limit lateral movement after initial compromise. When paired with 143 role-based access controls and strict least-privilege policies, segmenta-144 tion significantly reduces ransomware and risk of increased privileges 145 [8]. 146

## 4.2. Endpoint Detection and Response (EDR)

SMEs benefit greatly from deploying lightweight EDR platforms that provide behavioural analysis and forensic visibility. Modern EDR 149 tools, especially those with machine learning baselines, are capable 150 of detecting zero-day threats and anomalous insider activity in real 151 time. This is especially important in sectors with limited security 152 personnel and long patching cycles [6]. 153

#### 4.3. Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA) with Context-Aware Poli-154 cies 155

The use of MFA is now standard; however, improperly implemented 156 MFA can be circumvented through phishing, token replay, or push 157 fatigue. Context-aware MFA, where authentication factors depend on 158 user behaviour, IP reputation, or device risk, provides a stronger bar-159 rier to unauthorised access. SMEs in finance and insurance should, in particular, enforce MFA in all administrative and client-facing 161 portals [12]. 162

#### 4.4. Regular Tabletop Exercises and Incident Response Plan-163 ning

Preparation significantly affects the outcome of a breach. SMEs that 165 conduct periodic tabletop exercises simulate attack scenarios and 166 ensure familiarity with incident response roles and escalation paths. 167 These exercises have been shown to reduce the mean time to detect 168 (MTTD) and the mean time to respond (MTTR), limiting operational 169 and reputational damage [4]. 170

#### 4.5. Secure Software Supply Chain Practices 171

Supply chain compromise continues to be a key risk. SMEs relying 172 on SaaS and cloud-based platforms must implement software bill 173 of materials (SBOM) policies, perform vendor due diligence, and 174 enforce code-signing verification. In regulated sectors such as insur-175 ance, these practices are becoming mandatory under EU compliance 176 frameworks such as DORA and NIS2 [1]. 177

#### 4.6. Data Backups and Restoration Testing 178

Resilience to ransomware and destructive attacks is heavily depen-179 dent on reliable and regularly tested backups. Best practice dictates that backups be immutable, stored off-network, and subject to peri-181 odic integrity verification. Automated backup restoration testing is 182 essential to validate that business continuity objectives can be met 183 during a crisis [2]. 184

Collectively, these mitigations form the basis for a resilient posture. 185 However, they must be tailored to each organisation's risk profile, 186 regulatory environment, and resource constraints. In SMEs, priori-187 tisation and external advisory support are often required to ensure 188 cost-effective implementation. 189

# 5. Importance of Accountability and Responsibility

As cyber threats increase in volume and sophistication, the need 191 for clear accountability and institutional responsibility has grown. 192 This is especially critical in Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs), 193 where resource limitations and informal governance structures often 194 result in fragmented security ownership. In high-risk sectors such 195 as finance, gambling / gambling, and insurance, regulatory frame-196 works are evolving to enforce not only technical compliance but also 197 accountability at the executive and board level. 198

# 5.1. Regulatory Mandates on Governance

The European Union's revised Network and Information Security 200 Directive (NIS2), in force as of 2024, places direct responsibility for 201 cybersecurity on company directors. Failure to implement appro-202 priate technical and organisational measures can result in personal 203 liability, particularly in regulated industries. The directive demands 204 that senior management be involved in the decision-making on cy-205 bersecurity risk, an approach supported by empirical studies linking 206 board-level involvement with lower breach costs and shorter recovery 207 times [3].

## 5.2. Security is a Leadership Issue

Academic research consistently shows that cybersecurity outcomes improve when leadership understands and embraces its role in governance. Firms where executives take visible responsibility for cyber risk management, such as approving policies, chairing incident re-213 views, and setting risk appetites, are significantly more resilient to 214 both internal and external threats [10]. In contrast, organisations that delegate all security responsibility to IT departments often suffer 216 from blind spots in the risk of the business process. 217

# 5.3. Responsibility for Supply Chain Dependencies

In 2024, numerous SMEs experienced third-party breaches due to 219 their reliance on vendors that lack transparent security controls. Reg-220 ulatory bodies have responded by shifting some liability for vendor 221 performance to the SME customer. This implies that due diligence, contractual enforcement, and post-contract monitoring are now legal and ethical responsibilities of the enterprise, not the supplier alone 224 [5].

## 5.4. Cultural and Ethical Responsibility

Beyond regulatory and operational dimensions, cybersecurity is also 227 an ethical responsibility. Enterprises, especially those in data-heavy 228 sectors, are custodians of public trust. Mismanagement of personal 229 or financial data erodes user confidence and damages the standing of 230 the market. Embedding accountability into organisational culture, 231 for example, through regular policy reviews, breach drills, and named 232 data protection officers, is essential to long-term trustworthiness [13]. 233

### 5.5. Transparency and Post-Breach Disclosure

The post-incident response must include timely and accurate disclo-235 sure. European jurisprudence increasingly favours public and regula-236 tor notification within 72 hours of breach discovery. Failure to do so 237 can cause reputational damage and loss of insurability. Transparency 238 itself, when executed responsibly, is seen to reduce the long-term 239 legal and financial consequences. 240

In short, accountability must extend beyond compliance. True 241 resilience is built when responsibility for cyber risk is embedded at 242 every level, from procurement officers and system architects to CEOs 243 and board members. 244

# 6. Top Five Actions That Improve Your Posture

For SMEs operating in high-risk sectors such as finance, gambling / 24F gambling and insurance, prioritising security initiatives is essential. 247 Based on empirical evidence and sectoral studies, the following five 248

190

199

215

222 223

218

- 226

234

245

Novalvtics Limited 3

actions represent the most impactful and feasible improvements tocybersecurity posture.

# 251 6.1. Implement Zero Trust Network Architecture (ZTNA)

Zero-trust principles, wherein no entity is trusted by default, regardless of location, offer significant risk reduction by segmenting access
and validating every request. ZTNA mitigates lateral movement in
the event of a breach and reduces the attack surface of legacy networks. Research indicates that organisations adopting ZTNA experience fewer successful data breaches and better incident containment
metrics.

# 259 6.2. Enforce Strong Identity and Access Management (IAM)

Centralising identity through modern IAM solutions with granular
 policies ensures that users have only the necessary access for their
 roles. Enhancements such as time-limited permissions, password less authentication, and identity federation are particularly effective
 in reducing credential-based compromise. These controls are cost effective and scalable for SMEs.

# **6.3.** Automate Vulnerability Management and Patch Deployment

Delayed patching remains one of the most exploited weaknesses.
SMEs can deploy automated vulnerability scanners and use scheduled patch management tools to quickly remediate known vulnerabilities. Sector-specific studies confirm that automated patching
reduces exploit rates by more than 60% compared to manual or ad
hoc approaches.

# 6.4. Conduct Frequent and Realistic Security Awareness Train ing

Humans remain a critical attack vector. Studies show that regular
training, particularly those using phishing simulations and contextsensitive microlearning, can reduce click rates on malicious links
by over 40%. SMEs that incorporate training into onboarding and
quarterly refreshers report improved detection of social engineering
attempts.

# 281 6.5. Establish and Test an Incident Response Plan

 A written and rehearsed incident response (IR) plan ensures operational continuity when an attack occurs. SMEs with tested IR plans
 recover faster and incur lower costs than those improvising during
 crises. Effective IR planning includes role assignment, third-party
 contacts, escalation paths, and post-incident reviews.

# 287 7. Summary

The year 2024 was characterised by a marked increase in cyberse-288 curity threats across Europe, notably impacting Small and Medium 289 Enterprises (SMEs) within the finance, gambling/gaming and insur-290 ance sectors. Persistent threat actors exploited vulnerabilities such 291 as weak access control, poorly secured third-party relationships, and 292 inadequate security awareness. SMEs faced intensified ransomware, 293 DDoS attacks, supply chain compromises, sophisticated credential 294 phishing, and unprecedented data integrity attacks. 295

Key case studies highlighted vulnerabilities in SMEs resulting from
 insufficient governance, outdated infrastructure, and limited defensive capabilities. The introduction of rigorous regulatory frameworks,
 such as NIS2 and DORA, has established cybersecurity accountability
 at the executive and board levels, making cybersecurity not just a
 technical concern but an imperative for governance.

To strengthen resilience, SMEs are urged to adopt practical and evidence-based mitigations. Implementing a Zero Trust architecture, improving identity and access management, automating vulnerability management, providing frequent security training, and regularly testing incident response plans are critical actions that demonstrably improve cyber posture. The responsibility for robust cybersecurity lies with the organisational leadership, who must actively promote security as an integral element of corporate governance and culture. Only through proactive measures, embedded accountability, and continuous monitoring can SMEs effectively manage cyber risk and protect their operations, reputation, and customers.

# **Contact Novalytics for More Information**

Novalytics specialises in cybersecurity, information governance, and<br/>advanced analytics solutions designed specifically for SMEs operating<br/>within high-risk sectors. Our experts provide personalised guidance,<br/>strategic insight, and practical support to protect your organisation<br/>against evolving cyber threats.316

314

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

343

344

345

350

351

357

358

For additional details on cybersecurity best practices, assistance with regulatory compliance, or a consultation on improving your organisation's cyber resilience, please contact us via:

- Website: https://www.novalytics.com
- Email: contact@novalytics.com

# References

- R. J. Ellison, J. B. Goodenough, C. B. Weinstock, and C. Woody, "Evaluating and mitigating software supply chain security risks," *Software Engineering Institute, Tech. Rep. CMU/SEI-*2010-TN-016, 2010.
- [2] R. Xia, X. Yin, J. A. Lopez, F. Machida, and K. S. Trivedi, "Performance and availability modeling of itsystems with data backup and restore," *IEEE Transactions on Dependable and Secure Computing*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 375–389, 2013.
- [3] M. Schallbruch, *The european network and information security directive–a cornerstone of the digital single market*, 2018.
- [4] G. N. Angafor, I. Yevseyeva, and Y. He, "Game-based learning: A review of tabletop exercises for cybersecurity incident response training," *Security and privacy*, vol. 3, no. 6, e126, 2020.
- T. Sobb, B. Turnbull, and N. Moustafa, "Supply chain 4.0: A survey of cyber security challenges, solutions and future directions," *Electronics*, vol. 9, no. 11, p. 1864, 2020.
- [6] A. Arfeen, S. Ahmed, M. A. Khan, and S. F. A. Jafri, "Endpoint detection & response: A malware identification solution," pp. 1– 8, 2021.
- Y. Al-Hamar, H. Kolivand, M. Tajdini, T. Saba, and V. Ramachandran, "Enterprise credential spear-phishing attack detection," *Computers & Electrical Engineering*, vol. 94, p. 107 363, 2021.
- [8] W. R. Simpson and K. E. Foltz, "Network segmentation and zero trust architectures," pp. 201–206, 2021.
- [9] A. Singh and B. B. Gupta, "Distributed denial-of-service (ddos) attacks and defense mechanisms in various web-enabled computing platforms: Issues, challenges, and future research directions," *International Journal on Semantic Web and Information Systems (IJSWIS)*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 1–43, 2022.
- [10] W. J. Triplett, "Addressing human factors in cybersecurity leadership," *Journal of Cybersecurity and Privacy*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 573–586, 2022.
- Z. Chang, J. Wu, H. Liang, Y. Wang, Y. Wang, and X. Xiong, "A review of power system false data attack detection technology based on big data," *Information*, vol. 15, no. 8, p. 439, 2024.
- S. R. Kandula, N. Kassetty, K. S. ALANG, and P. Pandey,
   "Context-aware multi-factor authentication in zero trust architecture: Enhancing security through adaptive authentication," *International Journal of Global Innovations and Solutions* (*IJGIS*), 2024.

- S. Miller and T. Bossomaier, *Cybersecurity, ethics, and collective responsibility*, 2024.
- [14] C. Patsakis, D. Arroyo, and F. Casino, "The malware as a service
   ecosystem," pp. 371–394, 2024.
- U. J. Umoga, E. O. Sodiya, O. O. Amoo, and A. Atadoga, A
   critical review of emerging cybersecurity threats in financial tech nologies, 2024.
- ENISA Threat Landscape 2024 | ENISA, [Online; accessed 28.
   Apr. 2025], Apr. 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.enisa.
   europa.eu/publications/enisa-threat-landscape-2024.
- Global Cybersecurity Outlook 2025, [Online; accessed 28. Apr. 2025], Jan. 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.weforum.
   org/publications/global-cybersecurity-outlook-2025.
- [18] M. Nawrocki, C. Conrad, and C. A. NETSCOUT, *Campaign* analysis noname057 hacker in a hoody–global security mag online, 2025.
- [19] S. Panda, A. Farao, E. Panaousis, and C. Xenakis, "Cyberinsurance: Past, present and future," pp. 529–532, 2025.
- [20] Z. Tan, S. P. Parambath, C. Anagnostopoulos, J. Singer, and
   A. K. Marnerides, "Advanced persistent threats based on sup ply chain vulnerabilities: Challenges, solutions & future directions," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 2025.
- "Tempo Hit by Massive DDoS Cyber Attacks Following Online Gambling Coverage - News En.tempo.co," Apr. 2025, [Online; accessed 28. Apr. 2025]. [Online]. Available: https://en.tempo. co/amp/1995461/tempo-hit-by-massive-ddos-cyber-attacksfollowing-online-gambling-coverage.

